















tiple negative feedbacks: from publications, grants, funds petitions, prizes, chairs,.....behind every success there are multiple painful failures, like a floating iceberg, you only see a part of the whole work. You must have something in mind: a perfect CV does not exist. If you have published a lot, then you have not administrative experience; if you've travelled a lot, you cannot devote your efforts into an important question; if you've published a lot, not all editorials have the same quality (perhaps you've written a huge amount of papers, but not books, or vice-versa). You must also consider that good CV are not just evaluated as a whole, but taking into consideration the last 5 years of activity. As a conclusion we can affirm that the aim of a good CV is to make you unhappy, during all your life. Doesn't matter what do you do, it never is enough. And take for granted that in case you are approaching to some good standard your personal live is then close to zero. You are never too much clever. And even less when you work with interdisciplinary teams and you discover that have not idea at all about very important things.